Mock battles between Moors (or Turks) and Christians are one of the most popular features of the folk theatrical repertoire almost anywhere that Spanish culture was once dominant. Beginning, perhaps, in the late thirteenth century, and varying in form from small dances to massive street theater, they are still immensely popular along Spain’s Mediterranean coast and throughout much of Latin America. Scholars have tended to pay most attention to the tradition’s westward travels from Spain to the Americas, where the conquered peoples often insinuated a “hidden transcript” of indigenous resistance into the “public transcript” of European Catholic triumph. But the tradition also traveled eastward to parts of Italy and Germany under Spanish rule and, further, to parts of eastern Europe not ruled by but engaged in trade and diplomatic relations with Spain. One such place in eastern Europe where the tradition still thrives is the medieval walled city of Korula on the Croatian island of the same name.

The island of Korula sits in the Adriatic Sea, close to the mainland and about equidistant between Split and Dubrovnik. Known to Greek antiquity, because of its thick woods, as Korkyra Melaina (Black Korula) and to the Romans as Corcyra Nigra, its strategic position on the Adriatic trade route between Europe and the East has meant that the island has been governed by external imperial powers for much of its history. Of
these, Venice has been the most frequent and longstanding, ruling the island for a brief period after 1000 and, again, 1125/29-1180, 1254-1358, and 1420-1797. Korulan attitudes to Venetian rule were ambivalent at best. In the last and longest of these periods, the only realistic alternative to Christian rule by Venice was Muslim rule by the Ottoman Turks. Korulans, according to Vinko Foretić, grudgingly preferred the former, “with all its evils,” to the latter. Testimony to an enduring Korulan resentment of Venetian rule can also be found in the still popular legend of the Crnomiri (Black Peace) brothers, reputed to have led an uprising against the first Venetian duke of Korula, Petar Orseolo, in 1000.

The island has a rich heritage of traditional sword dances. Five villages boast kumpanije (companies) whose members perform a linked sword dance, varying slightly from one village to the next. The city of Korula has two groups that perform a traditional more_ka, a mock-combat sword dance in which two sides, variously identified as Whites and Blacks, Christians and Moors, or Turks and Moors, clash swords over the fate of a veiled young woman. The dramatic narrative of the more_ka clearly locates the dance in the widespread tradition of mock battles among Muslims and Christians mentioned earlier.

The authors of this article have seen the more_ka performed on several different occasions: at the opening ceremony of the Dubrovnik Summer Festival; at the opening of Korula’s annual Festival of Sword Dances, organized since 1997 by the island’s Tourist Board; and in its traditional setting on the July 29 feast day of Sveti Todor (Saint Theodore). The traditional more_ka on the feast day of Sveti Todor used to last a full two hours. To cater to the recent influx of tourists, the dance is now performed some fifty
times a year in a shortened version, lasting only half an hour. The longer version, which involved more repetitions of the same dance figures, is no longer staged.6

Today’s more_ka begins with a scene in which the Black (Moorish) King drags the chained and veiled Bula (Muslim woman) into the playing area. He pleads his love. She protests her allegiance to the White King, whom she calls by the distinctively Turkish name Osman. The two “armies” follow, each consisting of an equal number of dancers, usually between eight and twelve apiece. After a vaunting exchange between the Black and White Kings, the two sides perform a series of seven figures, in which clashing swords cause frequent sparks to fly. After the fourth figure, the Bula pleads for peace between the two factions, but is rebuffed. After the seventh figure, in which the Black army is defeated, the White King and the Bula are reunited.7

A complete history of Kor ula’s more_ka—or even a full account of today’s more_ka—is beyond the scope of this article. Our purpose here is twofold: to develop a coherent (although necessarily speculative) account of the early history of the more_ka in Kor ula and its possible antecedents elsewhere; and to show that in Kor ula, too, a hidden transcript of resistance to external rule was insinuated into the imported narrative of Turks, Moors, and Christians.

The first records of the more_ka in Kor ula come from the late seventeenth century. They show that the dance, in those days, was ordinarily performed during Carnival, sometimes at the court of the Venetian duke of Kor ula. During Carnival 1666, three men from the city took offense at actions of the “company of morescanti.” When they complained to the duke, he encouraged them “to take into account the season of tumultuous joy” and to forgive the perceived offense. Nineteen years later, wanting to
specify the exact time of an incident that occurred during Carnival 1685, a witness testified that it took place “after the moresca finished [doppo finiva la moresca].”8 During Carnival 1689, according to a diary entry, “They fought a more_ka at the duke’s court [se tukla more_ka u kne_evu dvoru].”9 No details of the framing narrative are given in these instances.

A more or less contemporary Italian translation of the more_ka dialogue, however, survives in the archives of the city’s Kapor family.10 The translation is dedicated to Paulina Capello da Riva, wife of the Venetian duke of Kor_ula, in whose honor, it is claimed, the more_ka was then being “revived.” Since it is not clear whether her husband was Marco da Riva, who was duke in 1683, or Zuane da Riva, who ruled in 1743, the document can be dated only imprecisely, but the earlier date may be the more likely.11

The plot of the Italian translation differs notably from today’s version. The contested woman, named Amira, is the daughter of the king of Algiers. She is in love with Mehmet, the only son of the Moorish king. Mehmet’s enemy, the unnamed White King, finds Amira, defeats Mehmet’s army, and keeps Amira as a slave. A concluding Latin epigram omits the religious affiliations of the antagonists, summarizing the plot simply as a fight between “Black and White kings” (“Dum Nigri et Nivei pugnant sine sanguine Reges”), but it concludes, disturbingly to a modern audience, that the victory of the White army is “just” (“just_ cedit victoria caus_”).12 The White army, in this instance, was presumably understood to be Christian.

Later variants of the more_ka’s plot confirm that the ethnic and religious identities of the characters and the objects of their affection have shifted from one textual
Such changes make little difference to the dancers. In a long conversation with one of the authors in July 2003, Darko Lozica, who has danced for many years with the older KUD More_ka troupe in Kor ula, referred to the two sides simply as “Blacks” and “Whites.” Although he occasionally referred to the “Whites” as “Christians,” he never identified the Blacks as Muslims. While the White army, he said, wears red (rather than white) costumes for “aesthetic reasons,” black costumes and (traditionally) blackened faces draw attention to the “blackness” of the defeated army. The structural core of the narrative is not the superimposed story of Moors, Turks, and Christians but the defeat of the Black King by the White King and the final possession of the contested woman by the victor.

Two other contemporary texts confirm the prestige of the more_ka in the court of the Venetian dukes of Kor ula at the beginning of the eighteenth century. One of these also confirms our sense that color is more important than religious affiliation as a marker of identity in the more_ka. A Croatian eulogy of the period praises each of the “Kor ulan knights [vitezova Korçulanschih]” who fights the more_ka as “a soldier worthy of glory [vojnika dostojni ste slave].” A companion Latin eulogy, adressed to Duke Carlo Zane in either 1700 or 1721, mentions a “sword dance [in gladiatoriam saltationem],” in which “two bands of swordsmen” fight, “one with masked faces, the other [with] clear [faces], both carrying swords before them [altera larvatas facies, altera candidas, ambe gladios pr se ferentes]. The Latin eulogy, with its reference to “ larvatas facies” is particularly interesting. Larva was “the common late-medieval term for a mask,” but it often bore traces of its earlier connotations of a malevolent spirit of the dead with a black and terrifying face and, hence, in folk customs, of a face blackened with soot. Although the
practice has waned with the increasing number of performances, the Black “soldiers” in Kor ula still occasionally blacken their faces with soot.\(^1\) It may be, therefore, that the “larvatas facies” of the epigram were faces masked with soot. Almost certainly, the “masks,” of whatever material they were made, were black and were worn by the Black army. Once again, it is the color of the defeated army that is important.

The blackness of the defeated army and the role of the veiled woman in the drama are, we believe, the keys to understanding the hidden transcript of Kor ula’s more_ka. Before we pursue this idea further, however, it will be helpful to trace—as best we can—the history of the various parts of the more_ka before they reached Kor ula. It will be important, as we do so, to bear two cautions firmly in mind: first, the more_ka need not have arrived directly from Spain or even under Spanish influence; and, second, it need not have arrived intact.

Ivan Ivan_an assumes that the more_ka reached Kor ula from Dubrovnik and that it arrived in Dubrovnik in the sixteenth century, either directly from Spain or via southern Italy.\(^2\) Aside from a brief Napoleonic interregnum (1806-1815), the Kingdom of Sicily—with its capital in Naples—was ruled first by Aragon and later by the united Spanish crown from 1442 to 1860. During the late-sixteenth century, in particular, Dubrovnik enjoyed close cultural and political ties with Spain and its Italian possessions. Foreti grants the inherent plausibility of Ivan_an’s hypothesis, but he has been unable to find any documentary evidence of the more_ka in Dubrovnik before it first appeared in Kor ula.\(^3\) Other scholars have suggested implausibly that the more_ka is a survival of ancient Greek pyrrhic dances, introduced from the mainland, or that it was learned from Genoese sailors. The lack of supporting historical evidence may not be the only problem
with these theories of origin. Another fundamental mistake may be the common assumption that the *more_ka* arrived in Kor ula intact.\textsuperscript{22}

Mock battles between Moors (or Turks) and Christians have never constituted a unified formal genre. On the contrary, the dramatic theme of Christian-Muslim warfare has been attached to a wide range of theatrical and choreographic forms, ranging from elegant courtly dances to elaborate pyrotechnic mock sieges staged for royal entries and large-scale popular street theater. Moreover, contrary to earlier assumptions about the history of the tradition, the dances appear to have been a late development, borrowing a theme from the tournament and mock siege to add drama to a pre-existing form of courtly, processional, or popular mock-combat dance.\textsuperscript{23} Indeed, neither Max Harris’s recent history of *fiestas* and *danzas de moros y cristianos* in Spain and Mexico nor Stephen Corrsin’s history of sword dancing in Europe mentions a single definitive instance of a Spanish sword dance to which the narrative of conflict between Muslims and Christians had been attached until long after the fusion had taken place in Kor ula.\textsuperscript{24} We may, therefore, not be looking for a single source for Kor ula’s *more_ka*, but for quite distinct sources for the choreography of the sword dance, on the one hand, and its dramatic narrative, on the other hand.

We will look, first, at the matter of choreographic sources. Foreti_ and Ivan Lozica both argue that sword dances were known in Croatia as early as the thirteenth century. They cite the minutes of a court case from the city of Trogir, dated April 30, 1272, in which a young man was accused of bloodying another “with his sword [*cum suo ense]*.” The defendant’s lawyer “said that it was a custom in Trogir for men to elect kings and leaders among themselves and to play with weapons among themselves at Easter and
for several days after the festival \[et dixit quod consuetudo est in Tragurio, quod homines faciunt reges et dominos inter se et ludunt cum armis inter (se in) Pascate et post festum per plures dies].” The wound, he argued, had been inflicted accidentally and without malice during such a game.\textsuperscript{25} If parallels with later “choosing the king” customs mentioned by Lozica hold true, the thirteenth-century custom seems to have been a seasonal rite of inversion akin to the election of a boy bishop elsewhere in Europe.\textsuperscript{26} While the accompanying swordplay may have been danced, the ambiguity of the verb \textit{ludo}—whose broad definition of “play” includes but is not restricted to “dance”—and the absence of any mention of music in the minutes must leave the matter in doubt. In any case, even if it were a sword dance, neither Foreti nor Lozica claim that the chronologically distant Trogir game was a predecessor of the Korula more_ka.

Closer in time is a staged “battle \[boj\]” among three shepherds and then between the shepherds and three satyrs that occurs toward the end of Marin Dr_i_’s play \textit{Tirena}, which was written and performed in Dubrovnik in 1548. The battle is over the nymph (\textit{vila}) Tirena, who successfully pleads with the combatants to stop fighting and, as the play closes, gives herself to Ljubmir, one of the shepherds.\textsuperscript{27} Although the text itself does not identify the battle as a dance nor the dance, if it was one, as a \textit{more_ka}, one of the variant early editions of the play adds the sub-title, “A comedy authored by Marin Dr_i_ in Dubrovnik, performed in front of the Duke’s palace in 1548, in which is included a battle in the manner of a \textit{more_ka} and a dance in the manner of shepherds \[bojni na_in od more_ke i tanac na na_in pastijerski].”\textsuperscript{28} Dr_i_’s most recent editor Frano _ale, following the lead of Leo Ko_uta, comments, “It is not known what Dr_i_’s \textit{more_ka} was like, but
one can assume . . . that Dr. i. used the more_ka known today in Kor.ula, where it is performed as an armed fight over a slave girl.”

Contemporary Italian morescas, however, are a far more likely source of Dr. i.’s more_ka, particularly those of Siena, where Dr. i. lived from 1538 to 1545. References to morescas first appeared in Italy around the middle of the fifteenth century, diminishing again—with a few local exceptions—after 1530. During this period, the Italian moresca was a mimed, costumed ballet that often served as an interlude in a courtly play or other entertainment. Such entertainments frequently took place during Carnival: eight morescanti from Siena, for example, performed an elaborate moresca in Rome before the Pope and other distinguished guests during Carnival 1521. Although the Italian moresca sometimes took the form of a mock battle, neither then nor later, as far as we know, did it attract a dramatic narrative of Moors and Christians. The generic name derived not from the subject matter but, in all likelihood, from a supposed similarity to Moorish dances: alla moresca, after the Moorish fashion.

Barbara Sparti is almost certainly correct, therefore, when she suggests that Tirena’s “mimed ‘ballet’ or small sword-play (not referred to as a more_ka in the play) reflected Dr. i.’s years in Siena.” By the mid-sixteenth century, she writes, Siena’s “artisan” plays, on which Tirena was modeled, were a working-class imitation of an earlier courtly tradition. Built around pastoral themes, they often included morescas in which rivals fought “with sticks—‘alla moresca’—for the same nymph.” The name moresca in Siena simply distinguished “pantomime ‘ballets’” from “specific country and sung round dances also included in the plays.” The same distinction seems to be made, in the variant Dr. i. subtitle, between more_ka and tanac (dance). Moreover, despite
Sparti’s tactful reference—in a paper delivered in Korula—to “sword-play,” there is no specific evidence for the use of swords rather than sticks (or any other weapon) in Dr_i’s more_ka.33

Similar Italian influences are evident in the two more_kas that appear in plays by the later Dubrovnik playwright Junije Palmoti_ (1607-1657). One, involving Argonauts and Amazons, was performed with swords (“Ovdi _ini medju njima more_ku s ma_im”);34 another, involving shepherds and knights, was performed with bows and arrows (“Odi se _ini more_kas lucim i strijelami”).35

While Dr_i and Palmoti’s interludes testify to the occasional use of danced battles known as more_kas on the Dubrovnik stage, none is more than a short intermezzo in a much longer play and none involves Moors, Turks, or Christians. In both respects, therefore, they differ radically from Korula’s more_ka. Dubrovnik’s theatrical more_kas may have provided a regional precedent for linking dance and dramatic narrative, but—with one possible exception—there is no reason to believe that they had any direct influence on either the choreography or the dramatic narrative of the Korula’s more_ka.

The possible exception is the young woman or “nymph” whose contested possession triggers not only Dr_i’s more_ka and many of its Sienese antecedents, but also Korula’s more_ka. Since most of the larger-scale fiestas de moros y cristianos in Spain and its former empire are fought over an inanimate object of strategic or religious significance, such as a castle, a cross, or an image of a local saint or virgin,36 the distinctive presence of the young woman in the Italian and Dalmatian morescas may indicate a regional continuity of influences from Siena through Dubrovnik to Korula. Even here, however, we cannot be certain, for mock battles based on the legend of
Charlemagne and the Twelve Peers of France often incorporate—on both sides of the Atlantic—a love triangle involving the Christian Oliveros, the Muslim Fierabras, and the “beautiful Moorish princess” Floripes.\(^{37}\) Many Mexican \textit{danzas de moros y cristianos} are also fought over a young girl, usually named La Malinche or Maringuilla (Little Mary). So are similar dances in Guatemala, New Mexico, and Peru.\(^{38}\)

A more likely source of the choreography of the Kor\_ula \textit{more\_ka} can be found in Venice, as one might well expect from the long Venetian dominance of the island from 1420 to 1797. A popular variation of the \textit{moresca} survived in Venice at least until the late eighteenth century as part of the longstanding rivalry between the working-class Castellani and Nicoletti factions. Massed battles between members of the two factions wielding sharpened sticks are recorded as early as the ninth century. By the fifteenth century, the battles had moved from open fields to strategic bridges over canals dividing the factions’ areas of control. By the end of the sixteenth century, fists had replaced sticks. The last “battle of the fists” was fought in 1705. Other forms of factional competition survived: gondola races (sometimes in costume), the construction of human pyramids, and \textit{morescas}.\(^{39}\) The \textit{morescas} were part of the rivalry between the factions from at least 1574 to 1847.\(^{40}\)

In two valuable articles, Sparti has reconstructed both the social context and the movements of the Venetian \textit{moresca}.\(^{41}\) While the Kor\_ula \textit{more\_ka}, even if we imagine it without its dramatic narrative, is far from a precise replica of the Venetian \textit{moresca}, the similarities between the two are striking. The Venetian \textit{moresca} “was never a combat between \textit{opposing} factions. . . . It was instead always a performance of skilled swordsmanship among members of the same faction.” The Nicoletti faction wore black
sashes and hats, the Castellani wore red. The swordsmen formed two circles of eight to twelve apiece, alternating between concentric circles and a single circle. The two circles faced in opposite directions, each moving clockwise, so that the swordsmen faced constantly changing opponents, just as the Kor_ula more_kanti did. The Venetian moresca had seven distinct “parts” or “figures,” separated from one another, as are the seven Kor_ula figures, by a “presa [reprise]” or rest. Finally, there is some suggestion that the moresca, although it lacked a formal dramatic narrative, may have been understood to represent a Venetian victory over the papal league and other Italian states that opposed Venice.42

The formal differences between the Venetian moresca and its counterpart in Kor_ula are more apparent than real. When shown the seven colored pen-and-ink drawings (1815) of the moresca that Sparti reproduces, Darko Lozica immediately noted the use of a single short sword by each of the protagonists in the drawings. Two swords, he reminded the interviewer, are used in Kor_ula. He also noted that one circle of protagonists held the sword in the left hand and the other in the right hand.43 But the use of a single sword is due to the drawings’ late date: before the middle of the seventeenth century, most Italian fencing manuals depicted men armed with two swords or with a sword and dagger.44 Arriving in Kor_ula before the change to a single sword took place in the late seventeenth century, the Kor_ula more_ka preserved the older practice. The left-handed swordsmen, like the tilted stage in the drawings, may be nothing more than an artistic device to ensure that all the swords (and, in the case of the stage, the swordsmen) are visible.
Another apparent difference has to do with music: unlike its Kor_ulan relative, the Venetian *moresca* probably lacked musical accompaniment. Not only was it always referred to as a “game,” which was “battuta [beaten or fought],” rather than as a dance, but there is no mention of music in the written accounts, nor are there any musicians visible in the pen-and-ink drawings. Probably the clash of swords and stamping of feet on the wooden stage provided all the rhythm necessary. Although the Kor_ula *more_ka* is called a “dance” by those who study it, the performers themselves refer to it as a “game” that is “beaten.” Moreover, as Grozdana Maro_evi_ writes, “Rhythm is far more important than the melodic part in the music[al] component [of the *more_ka*]. . . . The dancers create it themselves by stamping their feet on the floor (earlier in Kor_ula, the floor was of wood), but even more by the clashing of the swords.” A wooden stage, almost identical to that in the Venetian drawings, is still used for many performances.

Maro_evi_ suggests that music may have been added for the “revival” in honor of Paulina Capello da Riva. Although the musical accompaniment to the *more_ka* has gone through many subsequent permutations, “it is unquestionable,” she writes, that the earliest surviving notations include “music of evident Italian provenance that arrived in Kor_ula, probably during the 17th century, or perhaps in the first half of the 18th.” While Maro_evi_’s conclusions do not confirm the mid-to-late-17th-century Venetian origins of the *more_ka*’s rhythmic swordplay, they are certainly consistent with it.

The similarities between the Venetian *moresca* and the Kor_ulan *more_ka*, in our opinion, outweigh the differences. In the absence of any persuasive evidence pointing to an alternative hypothesis, therefore, we follow Sparti’s lead in supposing the Venetian *moresca* to be the most likely precedent for the formal structure of the Kor_ula *more_ka*.
What of the *more_ka’s* dramatic narrative? Foreti cites as a possible antecedent a mock battle that took place in Split on 27 September 1571. The Ottoman Turks then controlled all of the Balkan peninsula almost as far north as Zagreb, with the exception of the independent Republic of Dubrovnik and—from Kor_ula northward—a thin strip of the Adriatic coast (including Split) and its adjacent islands ruled by Venice. Venice, the Papal States, and Spain were together at war with Turkey in the Second War of the Holy League (1570-1573). From the Catholic point of view, the highpoint of the war was the Battle of Lepanto, on October 7, 1571, which itself became the subject of festive mock battles throughout the Catholic world.

The entertainment in Split celebrated a much smaller victory. On 26 September, 1571, a small contingent of Turkish cavalry threatened the city of Split. Helped by a sudden storm, a group of peasants armed with pitchforks and clubs overcame the Turks outside the city walls. The next day, after a joyous victory procession and mass, the citizens of Split staged a series of festive entertainments marked by “wonderful spectacle and high spirits.” A contemporary diary entry reads, “Among the other [entertainments] was a horse race; and the Turks fought against the Moors; then, the dance of the young women, beneath the palace of the rector [of the university] and the palaces of the nobles; and then, too, the fist battle of the longshoremen, in which one [man] was badly bruised and carried to his house as if dead; but, in fact, he didn’t die, and he recovered [*Fra gli altri, si fece la corsa delli barbari; e combatterono li Turchi con li Mori; poi, il ballo delle donzelle sotto il palazzo del rettore e li palagi delli nobili; e poi anche il combattimento a pugni delli bastasi, in cui uno fu malconcio, e portato alla sua casa per morto; ma già non moritte, e si ricuperò.*]”
Four distinct entertainments are mentioned. At first sight, “la corsa delli barbari” might seem to mean “the running of the barbarians” and to refer to to the mock-battle between Turks and Christians that follows. But a semi-colon separates the two. Moreover, Nerida Newbigin points to the similarity between “barbari” and the contemporary Italian “barbero” or “barberesco”—derived from the Italian for Berber horse (barbero)—meaning a race horse. She cites numerous contemporary references in which barberi or barbareschi competed (as they still do in Siena) for the palio, a banner or length of richly embroidered brocade awarded to the winner of a horse race. The “corsa delli barbari” in Split, therefore, was almost certainly a horse race. It was followed by a mock battle between Turks and Moors; a dance performed by young women (or, possibly, cross-dressed men); and a battle with fists, staged by the city’s longshoremen or porters (bastasi) and presumably modeled on Venetian precedents.

None of this tells us what form the mock battle took. Since about 1530, the most popular form of mock battle between Moors and Christians throughout Spain and large parts of Italy, Germany, and the Low Countries had been the large-scale pyrotechnic mock siege. But the citizens of Split could hardly have organized such a complex piece of theatrical artifice in a single day. An elaborate dramatic framework, with extensive dialogue, is unlikely for the same reason. Nor, despite Grga Novak’s assumption that the mock battle was a version of the more_ka “still preserved in Kor_ula,” is there any textual evidence that the mock battle involved dancing. It may have done, but if it did, it was the first of its kind to combine dancing with a narrative of Moors, Turks, or Christians. More likely, perhaps, given its proximity to the horse race, is that it was some kind of horseback competition in which one side dressed as Turks and the other as
Moors. A similar competition, based on the Spanish (and originally Moorish) *juego de cañas*, took place in Naples in 1543.\(^{55}\)

In any case, the only demonstrable similarity between the mock battle in Split and the *more_ka* in Korula is their shared reference to Turks and Moors. But, as we saw earlier, this unusual pairing was a late development in the Korula narrative, the earlier plot more conventionally pitting Christians against Moors. A much later reference (1822) to a danced moresca in Split describes the two sides as “Ottomani [Ottoman Turks]” and “Affricani [North African Moors]” who were engaged for their mutual amusement in a friendly test of skills,\(^{56}\) and this—rather than the 1571 *more_ka*—may have been the more immediate source of Korula’s conjunction of Turk and Moor. Of interest in Novak’s account, however, is his translation of the sixteenth-century diary’s distinctively ethnic distinction, “*e combatteroni li Turchi con li Mori* [and the Turks fought against the Moors]” as “*borbu Turaka sa crncima* [the Turks fought against the Blacks].”\(^{57}\) The linguistic slippage between Moors and Blacks may also have been at work, as we shall see, in the development of the Korula *more_ka*.

We know of no other mock battles among Moors, Turks, and Christians on the Dalmatian coast before the first reference to the Korula *more_ka*. Nor do we know of any definitive records of earlier performances, anywhere in Europe, that combined a sword dance with a dramatic narrative of Moors, Turks, and Christians.\(^{58}\) We suggest, therefore, that the Korula *more_ka* was not imported to the island intact but was developed as an original creation by the citizens of Korula. Diverse precedents existed: the choreography and swordsmanship of the Venetian *moresca*; the combination of danced battle and dramatic narrative in the Dubrovnik *more_kas* of Dr. i and Palmoti;
the struggle for possession of a young woman in Dr_i’s *Tirena* and its Sienese precursors; the broad Italian association of elegant *morescas* with Carnival; and the well-established European tradition of mock battles between Moors, Turks, and Christians, already evident in Split in 1571. But these elements do not seem to have come together anywhere else quite as they did in Kor ula. Rather than diminish the prestige of the Kor ula *more_ka* by implying that its particular roots are not as old as some had thought, we believe the dance’s prestige is enhanced by the possibility that it is unique to Kor ula.

In order to ask why the various elements of the dance came together as they did and why they should have done so at that particular time and place, we now return to the first recorded performances of the *more_ka* in Kor ula in the second half of the seventeenth century. Staged during Carnival for the entertainment of the Venetian duke and his court, the *more_ka* allowed the city’s craftsmen to masquerade as “knights” and to demonstrate their skill with swords.59 By at least the time of the Latin eulogy dedicated to Carlo Zane and the Italian translation dedicated to Paulina Capello da Riva—if not before—the swordplay of the *more_ka* was framed by a dramatic narrative in which Blacks fought Whites for the possession of a dark-skinned (Algerian) young woman. The former wore black masks—perhaps applied with soot—and the latter had “clear faces.” The Whites won, thereby gaining—according to a Latin epigram appended to the Italian translation—a “just” victory. We suspect, however, that this public transcript of White victory masks a hidden transcript of sympathy for the defeated Blacks, and that the uneasy relationship between the two transcripts goes a long way to explaining the form taken by the *more_ka*.
Any reconstruction of a performance’s hidden transcript at this distance in time is fraught with uncertainty. Under the best of circumstances, the condition of the hidden transcript’s public expression “is that it be sufficiently indirect and garbled that it is capable of two readings, one of which is innocuous.” We are handicapped further when we are unable to read for ourselves the signs of the hidden transcript legible in performance and must instead glean what we can from fragmentary eye-witness reports and from official scripts and dedications that necessarily privilege the public transcript. Nevertheless, we believe that, in this instance, enough clues remain to point us in the right direction.

One such clue concerns the textual identity of the Blacks as “Mauri [Moors],” despite the very strong suggestion that they are, in fact, Turks. Not only is Mehmet a Turkish name, but the armies of Mehmet’s father, “the Moorish king [il Rè Mauro],” are said to provide a sure defense “against the strong, proud Hungarian [contra il forte Ungaro orgoglio]” rather than against the Spaniards. Moreover, the “Moors” are twice identified with Thrace (“Tracio”), the Ottoman Empire’s first foothold in Europe. “Thracians,” as Foreti points out, was a common synonym for European Turks.

On the face of it, Turks were the most likely antagonists. Parts of the Balkan peninsula had been occupied by Ottoman Turks since 1354. At its height, under Suleyman the Magnificent (1520-1566), the Ottoman Empire ruled all of what is now Greece, Albania, Serbia, Montenegro, Macedonia, Bosnia, Bulgaria, and Romania, as well as large portions of Croatia and Hungary. Suleyman famously defeated the Hungarian army at Mohacs in 1526. As late as 1683, under Mehmet IV, Ottoman forces beseiged Vienna. Korula itself came under attack by the Turkish navy in August 1571.
Why, then, are the Blacks called “Mauri” rather than the more historically immediate “Turks”? In some Spanish fiestas de moros y cristianos, “Turks” and “Moors” are simply interchangeable designations for an undifferentiated Muslim enemy. But a more intriguing possibility, in the case of the Kor ula more ka, is that “Mauro” recalled the Greek word mauros meaning “black,” and that the Kor ulans substituted “Mauri” for “Turks” to emphasize once again the black identity of the young woman’s legitimate betrothed. (In several Dalmatian dialects, mori—like the Italian moro—means both “Moors” and “black.”) The opening line of the Latin epigram that accompanies the translation lends credence to this view, for it, too, frames the battle in terms of color rather than religion: “While Blacks and Whites fight . . . [Dum Nigri et Nivei pugnant . . .].”

Moreover, the imagery of the young woman’s opening speech pointedly undermines the ethical values with which “black” and “white” have traditionally been imbued in Europe. On first seeing the darkness that embellished her betrothed’s face, she says, she was reminded of the new dawn and of the sun that brings the day: “Al veder quel bruno che abella/ Suo brillante viso adorno/ Vidi, oimè, l’Alba novella, Anzi il sol che porta il giorno.” The White King later endorses this inversion of traditional values when he compares the young woman’s north African beauty to the new light of daybreak: “E più bella sembri ancora/ Che lassù novella Aurora.” The language of the dramatic frame, in short, declares the dark skin of the Black King and his soldiers—blackened not only verbally by ethnic referent but visually by a black mask, perhaps of soot—to be a positive value. The same is true of the young woman. The “clear faces” of the Whites are implicitly devalued.
The reason for this positive evaluation of blackness in the *more_ka* becomes apparent when we consider the title of the Latin epigram, which openly appropriates the ancient and longstanding self-identity of the island as Black Kor_ula: “Epigram to the most generous Paulina da Riva, wife of the duke of Black Kor_ula [*Paulina à Ripa/Comiti Nigrocorcyrensi donatissime/Epigamma*]”.

Coming immediately before the epigram’s opening line (“While Blacks and Whites fight . . .”), the identification of Blacks and Kor_uls could hardly be more explicit and still remain part of the “hidden” transcript.

The necessary ambiguity of the performance is immediately protected, however, by the epigram’s insistence that the victory of the Whites is “just.” This verdict puzzled Foreti_, who rightly felt that the White King’s forced abduction of the Black King’s fiancée was highly immoral. As the Black King insists in the scripted dialogue, the “rape” was an act of sexual violence: “A voi fieri, che rapiste/L’Idol mio, che solo adoro . . . [You proud men, who carried off by force my idol, whom alone I adore . . .].”

The confusion can be easily explained, however, if we maintain a critical distinction between the public and hidden transcripts. According to the public transcript, White Christians defeated Black Muslims. Although the religious affiliation of the Whites is never mentioned explicitly by the text, the designation of the Blacks as both Turks and Moors left only a Christian identity for the Whites. From a Christian perspective—and both Venice and Kor_ula were Christian—any victory of Christian forces over the invasive (or, after 1683, retreating) armies of the Ottoman Empire was just. This was the “innocuous” reading, the protective public transcript, the declaration of
a “just victory” that both the Venetian duchess and the Kor_ulan swordsmen could safely acknowledge.

According to the hidden transcript, however, the Blacks were not Muslims but Kor_ulans, representatives of Black Kor ula. The poetic imagery of the script established their positive value. In an act of manifest injustice, the arrogant Whites took by force the Black’s most precious possession. The young woman’s role in the hidden transcript should now be obvious. Land is almost everywhere imagined in female terms. Rape is a common metaphor for its seizure by force. We believe that the abducted young woman of the hidden transcript represented the island of Kor ula itself, repeatedly captured by external forces. The Whites represented Venice. Wisely, of course, the text avoided any overt reference to Venice, implicitly flattering the republic with a public transcript of Christian victory over traditional Turkish enemies. But, if we are correct, the hidden transcript enacted a poignant narrative of Kor ula’s rape and long captivity by Venice, the islanders’ continued devotion to their land, and their yearning to be free. Perhaps the poetic imagery of “new dawn,” “daybreak,” and “sunrise” not only valorized the Blacks and the woman (and the land) to which it was applied, but also invoked dreams of a new day of freedom for Kor ula.

Support for this reading of the more_ka comes not only from the historical record, well documented by Foreti_, of late-seventeenth century Kor ulan resentment toward Venetian control and its economic exploitation of the island,68 but also from another important item of local folklore, to which we referred earlier: the legend of Crnomiri (Black Peace) brothers. Petar Crnomiri and his six brothers, from the village of _ara, are reputed to have led an uprising against the first Venetian duke of Kor ula in 1000.
The origins of the legend are uncertain. Marinko Gjivoje has argued for its historical authenticity on the basis of later, verifiable clashes between the islanders and their Venetian governors in the thirteenth century, but he also acknowledges another view, which places the origin of the legend in the early seventeenth century, closer to the time of the first report of the more_ka on the island. The first extant version of the legend was collected in 1858 by Nikola Ostojić, who claimed to be drawing on a now lost poem by the Korčula poet Ivan Kapor (1776-1849). Kapor himself, according to Ostojić, had adapted much older oral versions of the poem.

Whatever the origins of the legend, there does seem to be presumptive evidence of its existence in oral form at the time of the more_ka’s first appearance in Korčula in the late seventeenth century. Significantly, the legend not only bears witness to contemporary, popular Korčula resentment of Venetian power, but does so in terms that identify the island’s heroes—whose successors, it is understood, will eventually bring peace to the island—with the color black. The brothers are named Crnomiri, pointedly combining the Croatian words for black (crn) and peace (mir).

Of interest, too, is the fact that the sword dance kumpanija of _ara, just sixteen miles across the island from the city of Korčula, still begins its annual performance of the village’s linked sword dance with an early morning ceremony at the grave of the Crnomiri brothers. The dancers lay flowers on the grave. The link between the _ara sword dance and the legendary resistance of the Crnomiri brothers was documented more than a century ago by Petar Kunić, who wrote in 1897, “The people of _ara play the kumpanija at Carnival and on St. Peter’s Day, in memory of their compatriot Petar Crnomirovic, who was killed during the reign of the first Venetian duke of Korčula.
Together with his six brothers, he refused to surrender the ‘dukedom’ of _ara to Venetian rule.” While neither the legend itself nor the _ara sword dancers’ identification with it confirms our hypothesis about the hidden transcript of the more _ka, they do together demonstrate the consistency of our hypothesis with other aspects of Korulan folklore.

With this narrative hypothesis in mind, therefore, we can now also hazard a tentative explanation of the formal choices of the first Korulan more _kanti. The adoption of traditional Italian dance forms, with their roots in both the elegant courtly moresca and the rougher but more enduring Venetian moresca, strengthened the public transcript’s flattery of Italian and, in particular, Venetian culture. But it also allowed the craftsmen of Kor_ula to display their skill with swords, thereby posing as worthy adversaries of Venetian rule, and to do so during Carnival, when a measure of bravura posturing could be overlooked by the duke as seasonal high spirits. The eminently flexible dramatic narrative of Turks and Christians permitted both a “safe” reading, according to which Ottoman forces were justly defeated, and a “native” reading, according to which Black Kor ulans protested their enforced subordination to Venice. The black faces and costumes of the “Moors,” dominating the performance, could be read either way, as signs of the infidels’ moral darkness or as glorious markers of the Blacks’ Korulan identity.

Careful study of the subsequent records of Kor_ula’s more _ka will, we believe, discover similar traces of a hidden transcript grounded in the blackness of the defeated army and in the never fully resolved contest for the woman in the veil. The particular interaction of the hidden transcript both with contemporary politics and with the shifting public transcript of Moors, Turks, and Christians will vary. Folk performance traditions are rarely fixed, and adaptation to changing political and social circumstances is often
necessary to their continued vitality (and, hence, survival). The triumphant waving of the “White” army’s red flag over the bodies of the defeated Blacks at the close of the more_ka, for example—still performed in Kor_ula by the older of the two more_ka troupes—carried particular resonance during the communist era. But we are persuaded that, despite these necessary accommodations to the changing political scenery, Kor_ula’s more_ka has been, for more than three hundred years, a place where a hidden transcript of anxiety over the fate of the island could be insinuated into a public transcript of compliance. The detailed history of that hidden transcript remains to be written.
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